



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,787	10/27/2000	Victor Levy	50251-I	6687
55529	7590	12/12/2005	EXAMINER	
VANTAGE LAW PLLC			PASS, NATALIE	
355 NW GILMAN BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 203				3626
ISSAQAH, WA 98027			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/698,787	LEVY, VICTOR	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Natalie A. Pass	3626	

All Participants:(1) Natalie A. Pass.**Status of Application:** Non-Final(2) Bruce A. Kaser (Reg. No. 31,531).(3) Joseph Thomas.**Date of Interview:** 30 November 2005**Time:** 3 p.m. E.S.T.**Type of Interview:**


- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***none***Claims discussed:***Claim 12 of record.***Prior art documents discussed:***none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOSEPH THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
(Examiner/SPE Signature)
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Issues discussed were those related to placing the claim in condition for allowance.

It was noted that claim 12 is an apparatus claim, reciting a web-based system; however parts (b) and (c) of claim 12 recite data elements rather than structural elements. It was suggested that the claim be amended to recite structure, providing there is support for this in the originally filed specification.

Also noted were some typographical errors in claim 12.

Examiner will reconsider the application in light of amendments made that clarify the claims.